Comment Set C.154: Steve Essayan

From: Steve Essayan [mailto:sessayan@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, October 02, 2006 9:29 AM **To:** antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com

Subject: Public Comments in regardes to the Anelope-Pardee 500 -kv Transmission Project

I have spent a lot of time thinking about this project and the effect it has on the area. Today, the 60 KV lines create enough worry for all people who see them from the concern about living next to power poles to the ugly presentation of the towers as well as all of the noise that they create when the wind is blowing.

As I think about the new poles going in, I am complete against this proposed action as it increases all of the issues above by an 8X factor which creates concern for me and my family. All of the data the public gets indicates that it presents a hazard to us and creates concerns around developing some sort of cancer. See some of the article's that indicate that below.

http://infoventures.com/emf/currlit/bu12466.html

http://www.electricalpollution.com/Lloyd Morganexcerpts.html

The obvious win/win situation is to put the new lines in underground as proposed in A-P Partial Underground (Alt-1). This limits the exposure to these power lines by putting them deep underground in conduit which dispels any of the concerns above. This also increases the value of the wonderful Valencia area as the ugly power lines will not been seen. As a resident of the area that is being impacted, I am also willing to share the burden of the additional costs associated with this change through some sort of tax or bound.

If the proposed new power lines go up as planed, I will be forced to sell my residence and seek appropriate action if the value of the sale of my home is impacted by this change which is safe to assume that it will be.

--

Thank you

Steve Essayan

Resident of the Copper Hill Home Track.

C.154-1

Response to Comment Set C.154: Steve Essayan

C.154-1 Thank you for your opinion regarding the proposed Project and alternatives. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.